WORKERS' COMPENSATION SUBROGATION · THIRD-PARTY MVA RECOVERY

DICKMAN
CHIROPRACTIC CORPORATION
MEDICAL-LEGAL

Recover What the Carrier Paid: *Show Whether the Crash Caused It.*

Objective Findings. Defensible Opinions.

When a work injury comes out of a car crash, recovery turns on one question: **did this collision cause the spinal injury the carrier paid for?** The at-fault driver's insurer will say low impact and pre-existing degeneration. I test both against measured imaging findings and the crash mechanism.



ROBERT DICKMAN

D.C., F.P.S.C., Q.M.E.

Medical-Legal Expert Witness

HOW AN ENGAGEMENT WORKS

1 — FREE FILE TRIAGE
Complimentary 30-minute call: an honest read on what the medical evidence shows.

→

2 — RECORDS-BASED ANALYSIS
Reconstruction data, imaging, and the WC record read together. No claimant exam.

→

3 — CAUSATION OPINION
A written mechanism opinion for demand, mediation, deposition, or trial.

Two Lines of Evidence, *One Question* — Did This Crash Cause This Injury?

CRASH MECHANISM → SPINAL LOADING

I work from your reconstructionist's delta-V, impact vector, and occupant position, and translate those forces into what they do to the cervical and lumbar spine.

- Occupant loading weighed against the published injury-biomechanics literature
- Individualized for age, seated posture, and pre-existing degeneration
- Addresses "low impact, no injury" with mechanism analysis

OBJECTIVE IMAGING — MOTION + AGE-DATING

Flexion-extension motion measured against the AMA Guides, the normal range for each level, and the levels beside it.

- Segmental specificity: motion-segment loss at the symptomatic level, not its equally degenerated neighbors
- Disc height and MRI compared with pre-accident imaging
- Symptoms and exam findings localized to the same segment

Built for Both Files — *WC Record · Civil Causation*

ONE OPINION, NO CONTRADICTIONS

WC + CIVIL FLUENCY
QME apportionment experience plus civil crash-injury testimony — consistent with the WC medical-legal record.

SARGON / HALLMARK RELIABILITY
Measured imaging findings, established methods, literature matched to the mechanism — no analytical gap.

RECOVERY CONTEXT
Written for third-party recovery and lien matters under Cal. Lab. Code §3852 et seq. and NRS 616C.215.

Who This Is For

I · Carrier Subrogation Units
Third-party recovery files where a work-related crash produced a spine claim.

II · Subrogation Counsel
Firms pursuing recovery or protecting the carrier's lien in the worker's civil case.

III · Self-Insured Employers
Self-insured employers and TPAs deciding on recovery before funding litigation.

> *"A carrier doesn't need a louder opinion — it needs one that lines up with the crash, the imaging, and its own WC record. When all three agree at the same segment, the opinion holds."*

Opinions are based on the records, imaging, and reconstruction data provided; where crash severity is disputed, mechanism opinions rely on a qualified accident reconstruction. Past results do not guarantee future outcomes. Admissibility of expert testimony is determined by the court.

Retain Robert Dickman, D.C., F.P.S.C.

doctordickman.com · doctordickman@doctordickman.com · 408-644-7600